Contents:

1. Proposals from the executive board:
   - Fixed organization fees for World Championships.
   - Proposal for planning schedule for the world championships seniors and women.
   - Recognition of tournaments:
   - Proposal breach of ethics appeal in the General Assembly;
2. Details of the proposals of the executive board
3. Proposal from the executive board for the qualification of the World Championships 2011
4. Proposals from section 64
   - Promote URSS championships until 1980 to World Championships
5. Proposals technical committee
   - Rights and duties of referees
   - Automatic titles from WMSG
   - International referees and languages
   - Endgame with fixed time schedule
   - Changing the pairing in the last three rounds of a Swiss system tournament
   - Tie breaking in Swiss system on rating
   - National ratings and FMJD ratings
   - World Records and new formula for national ratings and FMJD ratings
   - Differences in number of pieces for clock simultaneous games
   - Tie breaks in official FMJD competitions with sudden death games with Georgiev system
6. Details proposals of the Technical Committee

Proposals from the national federations:

7. Brazil
   - Increase all FMJD ratings by 400
8. Ukraine
   - Term for FMJD board members
9. Netherlands
   - Final order with plus remise
   - English / French
   - Completed move
10. Belarus
   - Proposals from the GA 2007

- Accept the compensation of financial losses to the players and the participants of GA in Brazili due to cancellation.

11. Senegal and Gambia

- the function of Tournament director
- the organization of the world Championship with 40 players
- a financial proposal for the members of the executive board.

12. Calendar of main events International draughts 100 until 2013

1. **Fixed organization fees for World Championships**.

In 2006 the CD accepted a proposal of Jaap Bus to change the organization fee from 5 % to a fixed amount. These fixed amounts have already been used for most of the World Championships since 2006. See details below.

2. **Proposal for planning schedule for the world championships seniors and women**.

Planning for the World Championship and the Rules for qualification must be agreed 2 years before the World Championship, at the General assembly. See other details below.

3. **Recognition of tournaments**:

There will be more formal rules for and publication on the FMJD website of recognized tournaments, including payment of recognition fees. Only tournaments officially recognized by the FMJD will count for FMJD title norms and for FMJD rating.

4. **Proposal breach of ethics appeal in the General Assembly;**

Make it possible to appeal in the GA against a decision of the ethics committee, before appeal is possible with the Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS) in Lausanne (change in bye laws of FMJD, article 9.4.5)

1. Fixed organization fees.

The following proposal was accepted by the CD in 2006:

In the CD meeting we discussed the practical application of the 5% organization costs rule for FMJD tournaments and matches. The proposal to apply fixed amounts for the different tournaments was accepted and we agreed upon the amounts shown in the attachment. These amounts will be reviewed at the next GA in 2007. The amounts are not subject to negotiation with the exception of the amount for the combined tournaments for junior, girls and cadets (see attachment).
The fee has to be paid to the FMJD before the start of the tournament or match.
The same system will also be applied for the FMJD 64 sq tournaments, but the specific amounts for the 64 sq tournaments will be specified after further investigation.

Application of the 5 % organization fee for FMJD 100 sq tournaments and matches.

FMJD Event	Fixed amount in Euro
WC Individual (Senior)	5000
WC Women	2500
WC Teams	3000
WC Match Men	1000
WC Match Women	1000
Combined WC Youth *	500
WC Junior	0
WC Girls	0
WC Cadets	0

- Combined WC Youth is for Junior, Girls and Cadets together. If the tournament contains only two or one of these World Championships the organization fee is zero.

2. Proposal for planning schedule for the world championships

Because many times the FMJD is late with preparations for the World championship the board proposes the following planning schedule for the general world Championship and the World Championship women.

- The qualification rules must be ready at the General Assembly 2 years before the tournament. (see separate proposal)
- The qualification tournaments have to be finished 6 months before the World Championship
- Publication of the participant list: 6 months before the tournament
- Publication of the regulations for the tournament: 3 months before the tournament
- Publication of the reserve list: 5 months before the tournament

3. **Recognition of tournaments and recognition fees**

The recognition of international tournaments will be done in the following way:

- before the tournament is played the organization of the tournament or the national federation asks for recognition by the FMJD, informing the FMJD about the important data of the tournament like the dates, the name of the tournament t, the form of the tournament, contact person, website etc.
- The FMJD will publish on its website if the tournament is recognized
- Tournaments not officially recognized do not count for title norms from 1-1-2010
- Tournaments not officially recognized do not count for rating as from 1-1-2011
- Tournaments have to pay a recognition fee as described in the Bye Laws of the FMJD; they have to agree to do so before the tournament is held to be able to get recognition on the official fmjd website.
- Tournaments using the Swiss system on rating have to use the FMJD ratings to be officially recognized by the FMJD
- national championships and official fmjd tournaments are automatically recognized and do not pay a recognition fee.

- tournaments from which the recognition fee was paid over 2008 are directly recognized for 2010 without recognition fee after they inform the FMJD about the data of the tournament: Cannes, Guadeloupe, Parthenay, Avignon, Golden Prague, Surinam open. Other federations like Netherlands, Belarus did not accept to pay the recognition fees for 2008 as they are present in the FMJD bye laws since many years..

It means that the FMJD has to have contact with the tournament organisations and federations.
In some federations, like Holland, the tournament organisations are fully independent from the national federation and the national federation has stated that it is not responsible for the tournaments and for the recognition fee of the tournament.
In other countries the national federation is the organizing party with whom to communicate.

4. **Proposal Breach of ethics appeal**.

In 2007 the ethical committee was introduced in our statutes and bye laws.
At the moment appeal to a decision of the Ethics Committee is only possible by going directly to the Court of Arbitration for Sports (CAS) in Lausanne. This may be a big financial risk for the FMJD and the person who wants to appeal to a decision.
That is why the board proposes first to have a possibility of appeal to the General Assembly, and only after that if necessary at the CAS in Lausanne. For this the bye laws article 9.4.5 will be changed.

Proposal GA 2009 qualification for World Championship International draughts 2011

1-2 the two players qualified to play the title match in 2010

3. A third player from the WC 2009 (number 2 or 3)
4. Sponsor place
5. Organisation place

For the following players a maximum of 2 players per country:

Places 6-7	Panamerican zone: 2 places, organisation PADCF
Places 8-11	African zone : 4 places, organisation CAJD
Places 12-13	Asian zone: 2 places, organisation Asian confederation
Place 14	Russia: direct place
Place 15	Netherlands: direct place
Place 16-20	Players Europe, Organisation EDC: 5 places

Compared to the qualification for the WC 2007 there is one place more for Asia and one place less for Europe.

Details about previous World Championships:

2003: Russia 5 (including WC, challenger); Netherlands 5(incl. reserve player), Europe rest:4(incl Israel); Africa 3, America 2, Asia 1

2007: Russia 4 (including WC, challenger); Netherlands 3, Europe rest:7; Africa 4, America 1, Asia 1

Proposal GA 2009 qualification for World Championship Women International draughts 2011

1-2 the two players qualified to play the title match in 2010
3. Sponsor place
4. Organization place
5. FMJD wild card

6-8 direct place for 3 countries on top of the FMJD rating list a on the date of January 1, 2010. (the average rating of the best 4 players on the a list). At the moment these are Russia, Ukraine, Netherlands, far above the other federations.

9-14 places divided over continents: Asia 1,America 1, Africa 1, Europe 3 (from this 3 maximum one place per country)

Most reserve places for Europe, but depending also on the developments of Draughts in other continents.

To compare with previous WCW the participants in 2003 (Zoutelande, Nederland), 2005 (Latronico, Italy), 2007 Yakutsk, Russia) All numbers including World Champion and challenger Russia and Ukraine

2007 in Russia:	Russia 5 ; Ukraine 3, Netherlands 2, Europe rest:5; Africa 0, America 0, Asia 1
2005 in Italy:	Russia 3: Ukraine 3, Netherlands 2, Europe rest:3; Africa 0, America 0, Asia 1
2003 in Netherlands:	Russia 4 ; Ukraine 1, Netherlands 4, Europe rest:5; Africa 0, America 0, Asia 0

Proposal of the section 64 together with the Brazilian federation

Homologation of Sovjet Union championships as World Championships

Brazilian Federation proposes for the agenda of G.A. to homologate all Soviet Union 64 squares Championships as World Championship. So, with this proposition we want that the 1st World 64 Brazilian/Russian Championship be the Soviet Union Championship of 1924.

Explanation from the Brazilian federation:

FMJD must works for developing draughts in all the world. And also to write the official history of draughts around all the world through the times.
FMJD was founded in 1947 and from that year on became the responsible for organizing world championships and also for writing the history of the game.
In the field about to write the history of the game FMJD must recognize the most important movements of the game before FMJD year foundation.
Soviet Union was the most important region in all the world for developing 64 squares draughts during many decades. They had a very strong organization and the champions of Soviet Union from 1924 to 1980 even today should be the top players in 64 Brazilian-Russian.
In that period there were no World Championship 64 Brazilian - Russian but the championship of Soviet Union had in that time the same (or higher) level compared with world championship today.
Then, FMJD has the obligation to write for the history that in this period (1924-80) the world champions - the best players in the world - were the participants of the Soviet Union Championships.

1. Rights and duties of referees

The referee has the right and duty to end the game if he notices that the game has ended for regulatory reasons.

2. Automatic titles from WMSG

For the WMSG tournament there should be the same rules for automatic titles as for the World Championship.

3. Referees and language

To be appointed to international referee a referee should be able to speak two of the three official languages of the FMJD English, French and Russian

4. Endgame in games with a fixed time schedule

In games with a fixed time schedule the same rules should hold as for blitz and rapid games with a fixed time schedule: a player with a clear advantage in an endgame has the right to stop the game and get a draw and the 1 against 3 endgame with both players a king is not played but is directly a draw, unless there is a clear win.

5. Changing the pairing in the last three rounds of a Swiss system tournament

In a Swiss system tournament the referee may change the pairing in the last three rounds to give a player a better possibility to reach a norm for an international title or to avoid that players of the same federation play in the last round

6. Tie breaking in Swiss system on rating

In the tie breaking criteria for a Swiss system on rating the intermediate result will be taken out of the regulations, so that only the average rating of the opponents is the decisive criterion.

7. National ratings and FMJD ratings

The Swiss system on rating becomes more and more important in international tournaments. For these tournaments it is important to have a good estimation of the rating when a player has no FMJD rating. The Technical committee proposes formulas to calculate the FMJD rating for players who only have a national rating.
These formulas will be published by the FMJD every two years. Links to national federations websites will be provided on the FMJD website to assist referees and tournament organizations.

8. World records and new formula for national ratings and FMJD ratings

The minimum average rating necessary for a new World Record clock simultaneous games and blind simultaneous games will be increased from 1900 to 1950.
With the new formulas to calculate the FMJD rating from the KNDB rating all World Records so far have had an average rating above 1950.

9. Differences in number of pieces in clock simultaneous games.

A game will be declared lost for any opponent who has two pieces less than the expert and no king. He will be asked to resign unless he can demonstrate that his position is not lost. This rule is valid for normal simultaneous games and for blind simultaneous games, so it is proposed also to be valid for clock simultaneous games.

10. Tie breaks in official FMJD tournaments with sudden death games

In all official FMJD tournaments tie breaks have to be played for the first place and for places with rights for qualification when players end with the same score and all tie break criteria in the FMJD regulations annex 3, article 5.11 are equal.
These tie breaks will be played with sudden death games with the “Georgiev system”.

1. **Proposal on the rights and duties of referees**

It appears to be that the interpretation of our regulations with regards to the task of the referee is difficult for referees and players. The FMJD Board proposes to add the following rule to the description of the tasks of the referee:

the referee ends the game if he notices that the game has ended for regulatory reasons, such as: (annex 1, article 6.16) 16 moves in 3-1, 25 moves only with kings, 3 times the same position with the same player to move or (Annex 3 article 6.11) the flag falls before enough moves have been played. This is common knowledge practice among modern referees but is not well known among many players and older referees. The regulations have to be made more clear on this.

2. **Automatic titles from WMSG**

The first World Mind Sport Games in Beijing have shown that this event has a very strong participation. That is why the Technical Committee of the FMJD proposes to promote the WMSG in such a way that the same rules hold for automatic titles as in the World Championships.

3. **Referees and language**

The Technical Committee thinks it important for a top referee like an international referee that he is able to communicate with many players and organisations.
For an FMJD referee the condition is that he should be able to speak one of the official FMJD languages English, French or Russian.
In the past there was an unwritten rule for an international referee that he should speak two of the official languages of the FMJD.
In this way international referees are guaranteed to be able to communicate with each other when they are together referee in an important FMJD tournament.

4. **Endgame in games with a fixed time schedule**

Some years ago a new Annex 6 for rapid and blitz games has been accepted by the FMJD General Assembly.
This annex has some special rules for the end of the game when there is played with a fixed time schedule (without Fischer system).
These rules in Annex 6 are**:**

Article 8: Regulation-draw
During rapid or blitz games, unless the game has an obvious continuation, the end game with one king against three or less kings is not played and is called a <u>regulation draw</u>.
Article 9: Time Shortage
In rapid or blitz games, any player who has one minute or less left on his clock, in the endgame phase with a maximum of 8 pieces total for white and black, has the right to claim a draw if his position is clearly superior.
The Technical Committee proposes that these rules also hold for normal games with a fixed time schedule, like the official FMJD time schedule of 2 hours for 50 moves and 30 minutes for the rest of the game.

5. **Changing the pairing in the last three rounds of a Swiss system tournament**

In a Swiss system tournament, especially in an official title tournament, it is not good if players from the same country meet in the last round of the tournament. If this possibility can be avoided by pairing these players in the two rounds before the last round then the referee may decide to do so.
Also to assist players who have the possibility to get an international title-norm the referee may adjust the pairing in the last two rounds. A player may be paired with a player of another nationality to achieve the minimum number of players from another nationality needed for an international norm.
Also the referee may pair a player to a player with a title to achieve the minimum number of opponents with an international title.
A player may, of course, not be paired with a weaker opponent against he would like to play, to have a better possibility to win.

All these adjustments of the pairing in these rounds may only be done in the pairing of the players with one score group. It may not lead to more players who will play against a player with another score. It will only be done if in the opinion of the referee the changed pairings will not influence the tournament too much. The changing of the pairings is not a right for a player but a right for a referee to consider such a change.

6. Tie breaking in Swiss system on rating

In a Swiss system on rating with players ending on the first place with equal scores the first criterion for tie breaking is the intermediate result. However, not always players with the same score will have played against each other. Also this criterion is not used in the Swiss system on Solkoff. The Technical committee proposes to removed this criterion so that only the criteria highest average rating of the opponents remains.

7. National ratings and FMJD ratings

In the system "Swiss on rating" every player should have a RATING.
If a player has an FMJD rating we use the FMJD rating.
If a player does not have an FMJD rating, but she has an FMJD women's rating we use the formula to calculate the FMJD rating from the women's rating.
If a player has only a national rating we use this national rating and the formula given below to estimate the FMJD rating of a player.
Only if we have a player without any rating it is up to the referee to make an estimation as good as possible.
Remember – these estimated or calculated rating is only for pairing and for calculations of average ratings for the final classification. We don't use this ratings for title norms or fmjd rating calculations !

The Technical Committee has created the following formulas by using statistical methods in comparing the ratings for players who have an FMJD rating and a national rating.

FMJD = 0,855*	**Women**	**+173**
FMJD = 0,65*	**Poland**	**+569**
FMJD = 0,91*	**Russia**	**-87**
FMJD = 0,4*	**France**	**+1266**
FMJD = 0,89*	**Brazil**	**+15**
FMJD = 0,35*	**Belgium**	**+1286**
FMJD = 0,072*	**Italy**	**+1776**
FMJD = 0,575*	**Estonia**	**+718**
FMJD = 0,468*	**CzechR**	**+1193**
FMJD = 0,783*	**kndb**	**+1150**

Example 1:
Mr.X wants to play in an FMJD competition. He is not on the FMJD list but he is on the KNDB list with rating 1200. We calculate an estimation for his FMJD rating by using:
FMJD=0,783*1200+1150 = 2089,6 = 2090.
So we can put Mr.X on the competition list with a technical rating of 2090.
Example 2:
We are playing a tournament "Swiss on rating" in Italy. We have a player from Estonia. Using our formulas we can easily estimate his 'technical Italian rating'. This player has a rating 2540 in Estonia. We need his Italian value.
So, lets do it:
0,072*Italy+1776=0,575*Estonia+718
Italy=(0,575*Estonia+718-1776)/0,072, so Italy=(0,575*Estonia-1058)/0,072
we are ready for the final calculations: Italy=(0,575*2540-1058)/0,072 = 5590

To make the calculations easier the FMJD has published an Excel document on the FMJD website. The FMJD will also provide links on the website to the national website to find the national ratings ofplayers.

Increasing FMJD rating with 400 points

The Brazilian Federation proposes for the agenda of G.A. to increase the rating points of the players in 64 and 100 squares, to make the draughts rating in the same level of chess. For making the correct changes Brazilian Federation also proposes to indicate Mr. Jacek Pawlicki and Mr. Edson Duarte to study and make the final conclusion about how many points exactly the rating of each player must be increased. The idea of this proposition is not technical it´s just for propaganda: to show everywhere in the world that the level of draughts players are in the same level of chess players.

Proposal Ukrainian federation

Term of elected members of the board

UDF suggests limitation of the terms of the next elected president and members of EB of FMJD by two years term (2009-2011). In this case on GA of 2011 all new members of EB will be elected simultaneously.

Final order with plus remise
In tournaments which are played with the plus remise the decision on ex-aequo players is made by first considering the plus and minus scores, before the number of victories.

English / French

The KNDB proposes to change the leading role of French in all regulations of the FMJD to English. If there are differences between the English version and the French version of a regulation then the English version should be leading.
The KNDB ask the board of the FMJD to also prepare a proposal to change the article in the statutes about this question.

Completed move

The regulations of the FMJD have the following articles about a completed move: In Annex 1, the rules of the game and in Annex 3, the rules of competitions.
Annex 1, article 3.10. The move of a piece is finished when the player has released the piece after moving it.
Annex 1, article 4.4 . The capture is considered finished when all the opponent's captured pieces have been lifted from the board.
In Annex 3, article 6.7. *Starting the opponent's clock*
When a player has made his move, it is up to him to stop his own clock, and as a consequence, start his opponent's clock, with the same hand with which he has made his move. Only at that moment is the move played considered as finished.

The article 6.7 in Annex 3 contradicts the articles in Annex 1, as if when playing with a clock the rules of annex 1 about a move being completed are no longer valid, and a player may take back his move as long as he did not push his clock.

The KNDB proposes to change this article 6.7 in annex 3 in such a way that after stopping the clock the move played is considered as finished **only with regards to counting the moves in case the flag has fallen**. When a player makes his move (example his 50-th move), then the flag falls, then he pushes the clock then this move does not count for deciding about the number of moves played before the falling of the flag. This is normal practice, however:
The definition of a complete move from Annex 1 is clear and should not be changed by an article in Annex 3.

Suggestions of the BDF on democratization of the FMJD
The FMJD statutes should be carefully reconsidered and edited in an open discussion in 3 FMJD languages considering the experience of others international federations (for example, FIDE) and requirements of NOC`s of the countries, members of FMJD.
The statutes should be accepted with observance of all procedures, the Statutes should be accepted for long term (6-8 years).
Offers to General Assembly
1. There no more than 2 representatives from the country who can be elected in CD.
The comment:
In the majority of federations the supervising body includes 1 representative from the country, in rare cases two.
2. Applications for all positions in the CD (including, president and vice-presidents, etc.) should be done only by national federations of the candidates.
The representatives of the Technical Committee and Committee for Problemism if they make a part of the CD have to be elected by the GA.
The comment:
Prolongation of powers without support of national federation is inadmissible.
Presence in the CD of the chiefs of the technical Committee and Committee for Problemism, which are not elected by GA is illogical.
3. The Agenda of the meeting of CD is presented to all members of CD not less than two weeks before the CD. A decision of the CD is accepted by the simple majority of voices when opinions of not less than 2/3 of the CD members are known. Decisions (Short Minutes) of the CD should be sent to federations and published on FMJD site in 3 languages not later than 1 week after the date of CD. Who took part in voting should be specified in the Minutes.
The comment:
Now the decisions of the CD can be accepted by any quantity, that leads to adoption of decisions even if possibly, the majority of members of CD is not agree with. Federations do not have decisions of the CD. It is unknown who when and how makes the decision.
4. GA should elect the commission of 3 representatives of the various countries for the control of observance of the FMJD Statutes. Members of this commission cannot be the Members of CD.
If this commission considers, that the Statutes are violated, the decision of the CD is cancelled up to the decision of GA.
The comment:
Unfortunately, the practice has shown that some members of CD do not turn attention to FMJD Statutes
5. Articles of the Statutes allocating executive vice-president many functions normally belonging the President is not logical and should be eliminated.
6. Decisions about changes of the rules, formation of World Championships among men and women are accepting by the GA only.
Such a decision may be done only after the open discussion and voting on the first hundred players by the FMJD rating.
Criteria “+/-“ and personal rating as ex-aequo has to be cancelled as the KNDB only use it in their championships.
7. The Revision of the FMJD membership should be done. All federations should present the Statutes, with translation into one of FMJD languages, (when required registered by authorities of their countries)
The comment:
It will eliminate many questions on FMJD members and will clear up the membership of the Russian Federation.

For increase of the number of countries affiliated by the FMJD, and improvement of financial position of FMJD we suggest the concept developed by Alexander Presman:
The natural way of the development of the FMJD - attitude to the various kinds of draughts, existing in the world.
FMJD should be the real center, connecting all kinds of draughts, many of which are not organized or have only national development.
For this purpose FMJD should show itself the harmonic, correctly organized, international federation with well developed informational and financial structure and the Statutes, reflecting the basic Olympic principles.
FMJD should welcome and help to organize the world championships in any kinds of draughts. Certainly the greatest attention should be given to the development of the most widespread kinds of draughts, 100 squares, Checkers, Russian, Czech/Spanish.
Each of these type of game can bring about 20 countries, members of FMJD, so in total 80 countries. There will be real members of FMJD.
The comment:
Acceptance of the program stimulating national kinds of draughts will increase the interest of the various countries to FMJD, will increase interest of players to kinds of draughts which develop in other countries

Proposals of the federations of Senegal and of Gambia

- Make the Direction of Tournament of the fmjd a group composed of all Tournament Directors of the Continental confederations who in annual rotation, will hold, alternately, the position of tournament director of the fmjd; the others will be assistants

- AN INDIVIDUAL WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP OF 40 PLAYERS MUST BE ORGANISED TO CLASSIFY ALL PARTICIPANTS FROM THE FIRST PLACE ON TO THE FORTIETH PLACE

- DO NOT ORGANISE A CHAMPIONSHIP BY ELIMINATING AND BY RETURNING A PART OF THE PLAYERS AT HOME BEFORE THE END OF THE CHAMPIONSHIP LIKE IT IS IN A CUP TOURNAMENT

- As regards the financial system, PUT IN THE TEXTS FMJD AN CONTRIBUTION THAT THE MEMBERS OF THE OFFICE AND THE MEMBERS OF THE CD PAY TO THE FMJD EVERY YEAR, LIKE IT IS INM SENEGAL.
Make PRESIDENTS OF CONFEDERATION THE ACTIVE MEMBERS IN THE OFFICE OF THE FMJD AND MAKE THEM PAY, consequently, AN ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION FOLLOWING THE EXAMPLE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE OFFICE. IT IS THE PRESIDENTS OF CONFEDERATION WHO PAY TO THE FMJD ALSO. THEY ARE LEADERS WHO HAVE TO HAVE THE POSSIBILITY OF POURING CONTRIBUTION IN THE FMJD

Calendar of FMJD Tournaments 2009-2013:

2009 : World Championship women	Brazil, Rio de Janeiro, November 1-11
2009: World Championship seniors 48 players	Brazil, Rio, October 19-November 11
2009: Youth Olympiad for youth teams	Ukraine, Dnjeprodzerzhinsk, Dec 15-22
2010: world Championship match seniors	
2010: World Championship match Women	
2010: world Championship juniors boys	Netherlands, Den Haag, December
2010: world Championship Juniors girls	Netherlands, Den Haag, December
2010: world championship cadets	
2011 (?) World Mind Sports Games	
2011: World Championship seniors:	Netherlands (candidate)
2011: World Championship women	
2011: world Championship juniors boys	
2011: world Championship Juniors girls	
2011: world championship cadets	
2011: Youth Olympiad for youth teams	Netherlands (candidate)
2012: world Championship match seniors	
2012: World Championship match Women	
2012: world Championship juniors boys	
2012: world Championship Juniors girls	
2012: world championship cadets	
2013: World Championship seniors 40 players	Senegal and Gambia (candidate)

To be organized if possible:

? World Championship teams
? World Championship rapid men
? World Championship Blitz men
? World Championship rapid women
? World Championship rapid women